




DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

TAL
Docket No: 945-14
4 March 2015



Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 1552.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 12 February 2015. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.


After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 22 June 2007 and served without disciplinary incident. On 21 June 2011, you were honorably discharged from active duty due to being ineligible to reenlist in your current rating of Aviation Maintenance Administrationman (AZ). As such, you were assigned a separation code of "LGH" and a waiveable RE-3M reenlistment code. At that time you were assigned a waivable RE-3M reenlistment code. In this regard, you were assigned the most favorable reenlistment code based on your circumstances. The RE-3M reenlistment code may not prohibit reenlistment, but requires that a waiver be obtained from recruiting personnel who are responsible for reviewing the feasibility of satisfying personnel manning goals.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your desire to change your RE-3M reenlistment code. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant such a change due to your ineligibility for reenlistment in your current rating. Again, you were assigned the appropriate reenlistment code for your situation. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in your case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director